

Chapter 16

Design Submittals

The following are changes, additions or deletions to the January 2012, Topic #625-000-007, Plans Preparation Manual (PPM) - English, for use on Turnpike projects only:

16.2.3 Typical Section Package

Add item e. to “1. Shall be required if:”

- e. If there are realigned local roads, frontage roads, cul-de-sacs, railroads, canals, aerial transmission lines, etc. as applicable.

Add after paragraph 3

When cross roads or other facilities are maintained by another agency, they should sign and date their approval on the typical itself before Turnpike concurrence. If this is not possible, a letter will be written to the agency confirming their concurrence and requesting a concurrence signature. In that case, the design documentation will include a copy of the local agency standard to document design conformance. (The maintaining agency does not need to upgrade their typicals to meet higher FDOT or Turnpike criteria.)

Add to list for “Proposed Roadway Typical Section Drawing”

- 17. Future lane widths (types and locations).
- 18. AADT
- 19. Clear zone
- 20. Vertical and Horizontal Clearances @ crossing roads

Add to list for “Proposed Structure Typical Section Drawing”

- 13. Minimum vertical clearance

16.2.3.1 FTE Processing

Add the following section

If major changes will be made after initial construction, a separate future typical should be prepared. Future lanes on proposed crossroad typicals should be dashed and labeled "Future, By Others". Future typicals may be urban while proposed or may be rural with different design speeds. (See TPPPH Chapter 2 on future lanes and Profile Grade Lines, PGLs.)

Typical Section Package will be submitted to the Turnpike Project Manager for review through the ERC process. Upon acceptance by FTE staff, the Turnpike Roadway Engineer (TRE) will advise the Project Manager to instruct the Consultant to submit 2 signed and sealed bound

originals to the TRE who will forward the typical section package to the TDE with a recommendation of approval. After receiving concurrence by the TDE, a signed copy will be returned to the Consultant. FTE is currently developing an electronic signature process and will advise Design Consultants of the new procedures when it is implemented.

16.2.4 Preliminary Drainage Design

Add the following paragraph

Complex projects may require a preliminary 45% (Red Line) drainage submittal. The intention of this submittal is to verify the design methodology adequately documents compliance with FDOT, Turnpike, and Regulatory Stormwater Management Criteria.

16.2.5 Preliminary Geometry and Grades

Add the following section

16.2.5.1 Turnpike Preliminary Line and Grade Submittal

The Design Consultant shall submit preliminary (approximately 15%) alignment and grade sketches/computer plots depicting the proposed geometric design. The submittal shall include horizontal geometry for all mainline roadways, ramps, cross streets and side roads. As a minimum, vertical geometry shall be provided for all mainline roadways and cross streets. Vertical geometry for ramps and side roads will be provided where critical to the project. The sketches or computer plots can be in sheet or roll form and will be at a reasonable and useable scale.

Supporting calculations/computer printouts shall also be submitted. Specific elements which should be addressed in the supporting documentation include but are not limited to design speed, lane widths, shoulder widths, bridge widths, horizontal and vertical clearances, stopping sight distance, intersection sight distance, aesthetics, access management and base clearance. The various elements should be developed to a level of detail consistent with the objectives of the preliminary (15%) submittal as described below. Continued development and refinement of the geometric elements for subsequent Phase submittals is anticipated.

The primary objectives of the Preliminary (15%) Geometric Submittal are to:

- Check consistency with the intent and scope of the Project Concept Report.
- Evaluate the impacts of changes to the Project Concept, resulting from the normal design development process as well as those due to changes in scope, identification of adverse site conditions, etc.
- Verify the geometric viability of the project for the desired design speed and traffic volumes.

- Provide a basis for early coordination with other disciplines (drainage, structures, etc.) and for early identification of design constraints or problems.
- Document off-site and pavement drainage constraints; such as flood plain elevations and seasonal high water table.
- Design Criteria specific to the project
- Anticipated variations and exceptions that are associated with horizontal and vertical alignment

16.2.6 Preliminary Traffic Control Plan

Add the following section

16.2.6.1 Turnpike Preliminary Traffic Control Plan

The Turnpike is a unique facility in that there are variable site conditions and traffic volumes requiring a detailed analysis to determine the appropriate Traffic Control Plan (TCP). The Turnpike has heavy holiday and seasonal traffic flows that will impact the solution based on the time of year, location of the project to tourist destinations and other varying conditions. Traffic Control impacts to the traveling public on an FTE facility can severely limit or prohibit construction operations using lane closures. Due to these impacts, a preliminary TCP design (45%) should be submitted for review. A comment resolution meeting between the design consultant and FTE production and Construction staff should be scheduled following the review. This submittal will be required, but not limited, to the following items:

1. Traffic Pacing
2. Traffic Detours, including lengths and impacts on toll revenue
3. Traffic Crossovers

The preliminary submittal should be on Roll Plots, in electronic format, and should include, but not limited to:

1. Documentation of off-site and pavement drainage constraints
2. Critical Cross Sections at temporary traffic shifts
3. Typical Sections for each proposed phase
4. Traffic Pacing and Detour analysis as appropriate for the project

It is recommended that coordination occur with FTE Consultants for an appropriate speed to use in the pacing analysis.

16.2.7 Pavement Selection and Design

Add the following section

16.2.7.1 Turnpike Pavement Design Submittals

Pavement designs on Florida's Turnpike System shall be done to the following minimum standards, variations from these standards require concurrence by FTE roadway staff prior to submittal of the final pavement design for concurrence by the TDE.

1. All pavement designs on new construction shall be calculated using a minimum reliability (%R) of 95%.
2. All pavement designs on rehabilitation projects shall be calculated using a minimum Reliability (%R) of 99%.
3. All pavement designs shall be calculated for a 20 year design life.
4. Table 5.5 of the Flexible Pavement Design Manual shall be the required minimum thickness for new construction and resurfacing projects.
5. All travel lanes pavement shall include PG 76-22 in the top structural lift and friction course regardless of traffic level.
6. The designer shall coordinate the use of FC 12.5 or FC 9.5 with FTE Production, Construction and Material departments at any ramp crossroad terminus that shows extensive failure of the existing Friction Course. Department approval shall be obtained prior to submitting signed and sealed pavement designs.

Pavement Design Reports shall be submitted to the Turnpike Project Manager for review in electronic format through the ERC submittal process. Upon acceptance by FTE staff, submit two signed and sealed bound originals. The TRE will forward the report to the TDE for concurrence and signature. A signed copy will be returned to the consultant with second original copy to be placed in the project file. FTE is currently developing an electronic signature process and will advise Design Consultants of new procedures when it is implemented.

A sample Table of Contents for the Pavement Design Report and also the Pavement Coring and Evaluations Report are available at the following link:

http://design.floridasturnpike.com/prod_design/roadway/resourcesandchecklist.html

16.2.7. 2 Cross Slope Analysis Report

Add the following section

Existing cross-slopes shall be analyzed and a separate cross slope analysis report should be submitted concurrently with the project pavement design prior to the Phase II project submittal. The cross slope analysis report shall be submitted to the Turnpike Project Manager for review in electronic format through the ERC submittal process.

Upon acceptance by FTE staff, submit two signed and sealed bound originals. The TRE will forward to the STM for concurrence and signature. A signed copy will be returned to the consultant with second original copy to be placed in the project file. FTE is currently developing an electronic signature process and will advise Design Consultants of new procedures when it is implemented.

16.2.9. Roadway Design Documentation

Add the following section

Roadway design documentation shall be provided at Phase I, II, III and IV plans submittals. The design documentation shall include, but is not limited, to the following information as applicable:

I. Section 1

A. Narrative

- a. Summary of existing and proposed design

II. Section 2 – Design Documentation

A. Location Map

B. Roadway Design Criteria (Table Format)

C. Horizontal and Vertical Alignments (Geopak Output)

D. Design Calculations

- a. Superelevation
- b. Horizontal and Vertical Stopping Sight Distance
- c. Vertical Clearance
- d. Barrier – Length of Need

E. Typical Section Package (Final Signed and Sealed)

F. Pavement Design Report (Final Signed and Sealed)

G. MOT

- a. Lane Closure Analysis (Final Signed and Sealed)
- b. Pacing Analysis
- c. Detour Analysis
- d. Impact to Toll Facilities

H. Existing Roadway Conditions Assessment Report (ERCAR)

I. Meeting Minutes/Project Correspondence (Related to Roadway Elements)

J. Comments and Responses (Related to Roadway Elements)

EXHIBIT 16-C**12. BUILDING**

1. Availability of temporary power, water, sewer and telephone.
2. Sufficiency of conduits for future wiring, size, number, and correct location.
3. Access for construction equipment.
4. Presence of hazardous materials.
5. Time allocations for outside contractors to perform their work are adequate and shown in the plans if appropriate.
6. Provisions for microwave and radio communications.
7. Traffic Control Plan are to keep traffic outside of the footprint of the building and canopy until tolls are collectable.
8. State Fire Marshall and Department of Management review of construction documents.

Item No.	Description of Change
----------	-----------------------

Designer's
Name

Item No.	Description of Change
----------	-----------------------

Designer's
Name

Item No.	Description of Change
----------	-----------------------

Designer's
Name

EXHIBIT 16-D**Date**

William F. Sloup, P.E.
Director of Planning & Production
Florida's Turnpike Enterprise
Florida Department of Transportation
P.O. Box 613069
Ocoee, Florida 34761

Re: Certification of Plans, Specifications and Quantities
Financial Project ID: 408694-1-52-01
County: Martin
Description: Drainage and Safety Improvements at Stuart Interchange

Dear Mr. Sloup:

The undersigned John Doe, P.E. hereby certifies that the plans, specifications and estimates for the above referenced project are free from design errors or omissions, and are ready to process for contract Letting. Further:

- All work has been prepared in accordance with this project Scope of Services.
- Engineering design conforms to the current Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Plans Preparation Manual and Design Standards.
- All plans components are complete, accurate, and up to date.
- The Specifications Package has been prepared in accordance with FDOT Specifications Package Preparation Procedure. Included are any necessary Technical Special Provisions.
- All applicable general notes and pay item footnotes are included. All notes are clear and free of ambiguities and contradictions.
- Pay item numbers and quantities are consistent with related pay item notes. The Summary of Pay Items agrees with work called for in the plans.
- Required construction operations will not conflict with each other.

William F. Sloup, P.E.

Date

Page 2

- The project is constructible and traffic can be maintained efficiently.
- All conditions included in permits issued to the Department have been addressed.
- Public Involvement requirements have been met and are documented in the project file.

If you should have any questions, please feel free to give me a call.

Sincerely,
HOWARD, BRACKINS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

John Doe, P.E.
Principal-in-Charge

cc: Project Manager w/ attachments
Matt Lamb
Louis G. Reis