| | IRM NAME |
|--------------|-----------|
| FPID #: | DESIGNER: |
| DESCRIPTION: | CHECKER: |
| COUNTY: | DATE: |

| Provided Provided | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|---------------------|--------------------|
| DRAINAGE DESIGN CHECKLIST | YES | NO | Designer's Initials | Checker's Initials |
| I. Drainage Report (see report outlines on FTE design website) | 120 | 110 | Dodignor 3 militals | Checker 3 midals |
| A. Executive Summary - Brief Overview of Project Drainage Design | | | | |
| B. Project Description | | | | |
| 1. Existing Conditions | | | | |
| 2. Proposed Project Conditions | | | | |
| Project Justification Narrative - Basin Schematic and Description | | | | |
| 1. Location Maps, Floodplain Maps, USGS Maps, SCS Maps, Drainage Map, etc. | | | | |
| S. Survey Datum Conversion Survey Datum Conversion | | | | |
| S. Survey Batum conversion Reseasment of permanent and temporary environmental impacts | | | | |
| Assessment to permanent and temporary environmental impacts Design Criteria and permits required | | | | |
| List minimum criteria and determine which is controlling the drainage design | | | | |
| List minimum cheria and determine which is controlling List all permits required and determine which is controlling | | | | |
| List all permits required and determine which is controlling Document all contacts with agencies | | | | |
| | | | | |
| D. Drainage Maps (pre and post) | | | | |
| Drainage Divides with topography (onsite and offsite) | | | | |
| Drainage Basin Areas Delineated | | | | |
| Overland Flowpaths Shown (Flow Arrows) | | | | |
| Proposed Drainage Structures Plotted and Labeled with Structure Numbers | | | | |
| 5. Outfall and Cross Drain Structures Shown | | | | |
| Required Information on Existing Structures included and Field Verified | | | | |
| 7. Section, Township, Range, City and County Lines, WMD and local WMD's boundaries | | | | |
| where applicable | | | | |
| Existing Ground Line and Proposed Grade Plotted in Profile, if profiles included | | | | |
| 9. Elevation Datum (Topography). Contours | | | | |
| 10. If profiles included, Storm Sewer Main plotted in Profile (including cross drains) | | | | |
| 11. High Water and Design High Water Information | | | | |
| 12. Adjacent and Receiving Water Bodies Labeled | | | | |
| E. Drainage Calculations | | | | |
| 1. Open Channel Flow | | | | |
| a. Supporting Documentation - Tc, CN or C calculations, drainage map with flow paths shown | | | | |
| b. Hydrologic Analysis - Storm frequencies and duration | | | | |
| c. Hydraulic Analysis - Hydraulic Worksheet for Roadside Ditches - Include channel | | | | |
| lining requirements | | | | |
| d. Outfall ditches sized for 25YR storm | | | | |
| e. Check if any point source flows entering a ditch have been properly accounted for | | | | |
| f. Confirm noise wall drainage has been properly designed (slot locations, no increase to water elevations offsite, etc.) | | | | |
| 2. Stormdrain Systems | | | | |
| a. FDOT Stormdrain Tabulations | | | | |
| Correct zone and frequency used? | | | | |
| b. Supporting Documentation - Tc, CN or C calculations, drainage map with flow paths | | | | |
| Starting tailwater documented? | | | | |
| c. Areas of special inlet placement, SE transitions, intersections, side streets, lane tapers | | | | |
| against grade, sags, flanking inlets | | | | |
| d. Inlet Spacing/Spread Calculations/Bypass Flow/Debris Tolerance | | | | |
| e. Check 50 year storm event for sag inlets with no other outlet (median drainage) | | | | |
| f. Stormdrains which act as crossdrains should be checked using crossdrain criteria | | | | |
| g. Minimum pipe slopes are sufficient to provide self-cleansing velocities (2.5 fps) | | | | |
| h. Minimum required HGL clearance provided? | | | | |
| i. Check if any systems have base flow entering them and confirm accounted for properly. | | | | |
| i. Check if any systems have base flow entering them and confirm accounted for property. j. Check velocities leaving systems, do any require energy dissipators/other erosion control measures? | | | | |
| j. Check velocities leaving systems, do any require energy dissipators/other erosion control measures? | | | | |

| | IRM NAME |
|--------------|-----------|
| FPID #: | DESIGNER: |
| DESCRIPTION: | CHECKER: |
| COUNTY: | DATE: |

| DRAINAGE DESIGN CHECKLIST Provided | | | | |
|---|-----|----|---------------------|--------------------|
| | YES | NO | Designer's Initials | Checker's Initials |
| 3. Optional Pipe Analysis | | | | |
| a. Include geotechnical results of soil chemistry | | | | |
| b. Latest version of culvert service life estimator run | | | | |
| c. CSLE results checked for availability, minimum and maximum cover | | | | |
| d. CSLE pitting analysis run for jack and bore pipes | | | | |
| 4. Cross Drains | | | | |
| a. Description of Drainage Area | | | 1 | |
| 1. Natural Features | | | 1 | |
| Existing Facilities | | | 1 | |
| Existing Flooding | | | 1 | |
| Existing Drainage Problems | | | 1 | |
| 5. Bridge/Bridge Culvert category | | | 1 | |
| b. Previous Studies | | | 1 | |
| Drainage Studies | | | 1 | |
| Water Management Permits/DERM | | | 1 | |
| FEMA - Define floodplain/floodway impacts | | | 1 | |
| c. Peak Design Flows | | | 1 | |
| All Design Storm Peaks | | | 1 | |
| 2. FDOT Methodology | | | 1 | |
| d. Existing Conditions | | | 1 | |
| Flood Stages - Source | | | 1 | |
| Tailwater Elevation - Source | | | 1 | |
| 3. Headwater Elevation - Source | | | 1 | |
| 4. Scour - Source | | | 1 | |
| e. Culvert Design (Pre/Post) | | | 1 | |
| Supporting Documentation - Tc, CN or C calculations, drainage map with flow paths | | | 1 | |
| Tailwater Methodology and calculations | | | 1 | |
| FDOT Culvert Design Methodology (HDS-5) | | | 1 | |
| Headwater/Tailwater Comparison to Existing Conditions for Design Storms | | | 1 | |
| Design HW does not exceed Allowable HW | | | 1 | |
| 5. 100 year HW not increased (if increased, provide evidence of no adverse impact to offsite) | | | 1 | |
| Erosion/Scour calculations and protection requirements | | | 1 | |
| 7. Clear Zone Standards | | | 1 | |
| 8, Flood Data Box Information | | | 1 | |
| f. Box Culvert Design (Pre/Post) | | | 1 | |
| Supporting Documentation - Tc, CN or C calculations, drainage map with flow paths | | | 1 | |
| Tailwater Methodology and calculations | | | 1 | |
| 2. FDOT Culvert Design Methodology (HDS-5) | | | 1 | |
| 3. Headwater/Tailwater Comparison to Existing Conditions for Design Storms | | | 1 | |
| Treadward Tallward Companion of Existing Containers for Design Commis A. Design HW does not exceed Allowable HW | + | | 1 | |
| 5. 100 year HW not increased (if increased, provide evidence of no adverse impact to offsite) | + | | | |
| Too year in twink intreased in interased, provide evidence or no adverse impact to orisite) Include low flows and HEC-3 runs or backwater curves for design flows | + | | 1 | |
| Structural Design using FDOT "Reinforces Concrete Box Culvert and Wingwall Design" | + | | 1 | |

| | IRM NAME |
|--------------|-----------|
| FPID #: | DESIGNER: |
| DESCRIPTION: | CHECKER: |
| COUNTY: | DATE: |

| DRAINAGE DEGION CUECULIST | Provided | | | |
|--|----------|----|---------------------|--------------------|
| DRAINAGE DESIGN CHECKLIST | YES | NO | Designer's Initials | Checker's Initials |
| 5. Underdrain, Vertical Column Recovery Structures or other drawdown systems | | | | |
| a. Pollution abatement volume | | | | |
| b. Design method | | | | |
| c. Estimated length of underdrain for filtering pollution abatement volume | | | | |
| d. Typical section with elevations | | | | |
| e. Gravity flow in underdrain pipe(s) | | | | |
| f. Estimated drawdown time to evacuate the pollution abatement volume | | | | |
| g. Design addresses the drawdown of seasonal highwater table as applicable | | | | |
| h. Design certified by geotechnical engineer | | | | |
| i. Does system affect base clearance? | | | | |
| 6. Stormwater Management | | | | |
| a. Pond Siting report - Wet, Dry, Detention, Retention, On-line, Off-line, Demonstrate all matrix factors fully evaluated. | | | | |
| b. Stage storage calculations for proposed pond excluding compensation storage | | | | |
| c. Supporting Documentation - Areas, Tc, CN or C calculations, drainage map w/flow paths | | | | |
| d. Computed tailwater elevation of receiving facility for appropriate design frequency event | | | | |
| e. Hydrologic Analysis | | | | |
| Pre and Post Development Hydrographs | | | | |
| f. Hydraulic Analysis | | | | |
| Pond Routing Calculations | | | | |
| Critical duration if required | | | | |
| 3. Pond Volume Calculations | | | | |
| Skimmer Head Loss Calculations, including skimmer/box clearance | | | | |
| Water Quality Volume Calculations Included. Correct criteria used | | | | |
| Water Quality and Water Quantity Drawdown Times | | | | |
| 7. Littoral Area Calculations (wet pond), if applicable | | | | |
| Permanent Pool Volume (wet pond). Use 21 days to avoid littoral zone requirements | | | | |
| g. Computer Modeling Information | | | | |
| Model Input Data | | | | |
| 2. Model Output Data | | | | |
| 7. Summary of Results in Table Form | | | | |
| a. Pre vs. Post peak flows to receiving water | | | | |
| b. Design high water in pond(s). Compare to min. required distance below roadway base | | | | |
| c. 1 foot of freeboard above peak stage in pond(s) to the inside maintenance berm | | | | |
| d. Floodplain compensation addressed | | | | |
| e. NWL in ponds | | | | |
| f. Control elevation, size, and provided recovery time versus required | | | | |
| g. Required versus Provided water quality volumes | | | | |
| h. Pre versus Post stages any where offsite HGL affected by project | | | | |
| 8. General | | | | |
| a. Corrosiveness analysis has been performed on CMP installations and on RCP | | | | |
| b. Description of offsite easements and/or flood rights, if required | | | | |
| c. Check that existing drainage system will function under proposed conditions | | | | |
| d. Verify that improvements do not result in adverse affects on adjacent property | | | | |
| e. Temporary drainage addressed | | | | |
| F. Correspondence and Supporting Documentation | | | | |

| | IRM NAME |
|--------------|-----------|
| FPID #: | DESIGNER: |
| DESCRIPTION: | CHECKER: |
| COUNTY: | DATE: |

| DRAINAGE REGION QUEOZUIOT | Provided Provided | | | |
|---|-------------------|----|---------------------|--------------------|
| DRAINAGE DESIGN CHECKLIST | YES | NO | Designer's Initials | Checker's Initials |
| II. Engineering Plans | | | | |
| A. Drainage Maps | | | | |
| All offsite area draining to project delineated and acreages labeled | | | | |
| All offsite connections to project right of way shown | | | | |
| Arrows showing flow direction both onsite and offsite | | | | |
| Stormwater Management Facilities shown with outfall locations and easements | | | | |
| 5. Other items as identified in FDM Chapter 305 | | | | |
| 6. Flood Data Table for cross drains included with notes | | | | |
| B. Summary of Pay Items (or Estimated Quantities Report) includes all drainage items | | | | |
| C. Summary of Drainage Structures (or Estimated Quantities Report) | | | | |
| Separate columns for adjusted and modified structures | | | | |
| 2. Check sizes, lengths, inlet types, etc. | | | | |
| Check for consistent structure labeling format | | | | |
| 4. Cross drains, side drains, ditch pavement, pond control structures, and any other miscellaneous drainage structures | | | | |
| D. Optional Materials Sheet | | | | |
| Check Drainage Manual/CSLE for availability, suitability, and max/min fill height for all materials | | | | |
| Do not use materials that require a design review or approval by the State Engineer | | | | |
| Gage for metal pipe noted | | | | |
| 4. RCP Class noted | | | | |
| 5. Verify jack and bore minimum pipe thicknesses are identified | | | | |
| 6. Verify allowable pipe materials follow Table 6-1 of the FDOT Drainage Manual | | | | |
| E. Quantity Sheets (or Estimated Quantities Report) | | | | |
| Erosion control items, side drains, and ditch pavement summarized | | | | |
| F. Typical Sections | | | | |
| Typical ditch sections shown with minimum bottom width and minimum berm elevation if needed | | | | |
| 2. Minimum depth of ditch shown | | | | |
| Shoulder gutter shown where needed, such as pavement draining through guardrail | | | | |
| 4. Check number of lanes sloped in one direction vs.FDM Figure 211.2.1 and TDH 211.2.3 to determine need for hydroplaning calcs | | | | |
| G. Plans | | | | |
| 1. Check Drainage and Outfall Structures, Underdrain/Cleanout Locations, and French Drain callouts | | | | |
| Check Lengths of pipes and flow line information | | | | |
| Check underground utility locations and Soil Boring locations | | | | |
| 4. Ponds labeled | | | | |
| 5. Check consistency of stationing with all sheets | | | | |
| Check structure numbers for consistency | | | | |
| 7. Stations for locations of pond access are included | | | | |
| Confirm construction is contained within the Right of Way | | | | |
| Jurisdictional lines shown | | | | |
| 10. Are inlets required at super elevation transition, median lanes, sags, tapers, or intersections? | | | | |
| 11. If connecting to existing pipe, add corresponding note from the TDH Exhibit 311-1 | | | | |
| H. Profiles | | | | |
| Check Existing Ground Elevations (Beginning and End of Sheet) | | | | |
| Check Underground Utilities plotted (4 inches or greater) | | | | |
| Show and label begin and end of special ditches (including median) | | | | |
| 4. For treatment swales, provide additional labeling as requested in the TDH 313.4 | | | | |

| | IRM NAME |
|--------------|-----------|
| FPID #: | DESIGNER: |
| DESCRIPTION: | CHECKER: |
| COUNTY: | DATE: |

| Provided Provided | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|--------------------|
| DRAINAGE DESIGN CHECKLIST | DRAINAGE DESIGN CHECKLIST YES NO | | Designer's Initials | Checker's Initials |
| I. Drainage Structures Sheets | | | _ conginer o minimo | |
| Check plotting of drainage structures (offset as indicated in Standard Plans, verify all gutter elevations are also correct) | | | 1 | |
| Existing structures requiring work are noted and given a proposed structure number | | | 1 | |
| 3. Drainage structure notes include structure number, flow lines with direction, Standard Plan numbers | | | | |
| Structure number and stationing shown along right border of sheet | | | 1 | |
| 5. Underground utilities are shown (gas mains running through conflict boxes not allowed) | | | 1 | |
| 6. Existing Ground Line and Proposed Grade Plotted | | | 1 | |
| 7. All utility conflicts have been identified | | | 1 | |
| Design fill heights for box culverts are shown (includes top slab thickness) | | | | |
| Check for adequate cover over pipe for all optional materials allowed | | | 1 | |
| 10. Check for adequate cover over pipes in a horizontal curve | | | 1 | |
| 11. Check for adequate cover between ditch and pipe | | | 1 | |
| 12. Riprap/energy dissipators shown if required | | | 1 | |
| 13. Minimum depth of pipe flowlines adhere to FDOT Drainage Design Guide | | | 1 | |
| 14. Wall Zones Delineated | | | 1 | |
| J. Drainage Details (Control Structures and Pond Details) | | | | |
| Check that Pond radii points and access driveway have a station offset | | | 1 | |
| Minimum maintenance berm and littoral shelf provided | | | | |
| 3. Pond parameters given and verified (I.e. control, DHW, SHW, Weir elevation, pond bottom, berm, slopes) | | | 1 | |
| 4. Check to see if a fence, gate, or sediment sump is required | | | 1 | |
| 5. Geotech borings for pond shown on plans | | | 1 | |
| Actual Pond cross sections provided with soil borings shown | | | | |
| 7. Skimmer details required if not using Standard Plan 425-070 | | | 1 | |
| Drainage easement or flood rights required? | | | 1 | |
| 9. Confirmed control structures shown in tables/details are constructible in the locations proposed | | | 1 | |
| 10. Stations for locations of pond access are included, if included within the sheet clip | | | 1 | |
| 11. Show and label pond tie up/down contours tying to existing ground contours | | | 1 | |
| K. Cross Sections | | | | |
| Check tie ins are appropriate at the beginning and ending of all ditches | | | 1 | |
| 2. Special ditch grade elevations shown | | | 1 | |
| 3. Soil borings shown w/ SHWT | | | 1 | |
| Underground utilities (4 inch or greater) shown | | | | |
| 5. Check low points in ditches with locations of DBI's | | | 1 | |
| Check that proposed limits of construction stay within Right of Way | | | 1 | |
| 7. Check that project does not cause offsite ponding | | | 1 | |
| Does project eliminate any existing conveyance ditches? | | | 1 | |
| 9. Shoulder gutter requirements met | | | | |
| 10. Check number of lanes sloped in one direction vs.FDM Figure 211.2.1 and TDH 211.2.3 to determine need for hydroplaning calcs | | | 1 | |
| III. Geotechnical Report | | | | |
| A. Boring Location and Logs | | | 1 | |
| B. Estimated Seasonal High Groundwater Elevations for Ponds and Roadway | | | 1 | |
| C. Certification of Underdrain Designs | | | 1 | |
| D. Geotech certification for embankment stability | <u> </u> | | 1 | 1 |

| | IRM NAME |
|--------------|-----------|
| FPID #: | DESIGNER: |
| DESCRIPTION: | CHECKER: |
| COUNTY: | DATE: |

| DDAINAGE DEGICAL CHECKLIST | Provided | | | |
|---|----------|----|---------------------|--------------------|
| DRAINAGE DESIGN CHECKLIST | YES | NO | Designer's Initials | Checker's Initials |
| IV. Other Miscellaneous Drainage Items | | | | |
| A. Status of Design Variances or Exceptions | | | | |
| B. Liability Concerns | | | | |
| Documentation that proposed drainage design meets clear zone requirements | | | | |
| Documentation that proposed drainage design will not affect historic stormwater discharge | | | | |
| location, rate and quantity (as applicable), and stages | | | | |
| C. Right of Way | | | | |
| Easement or Right of Way acquisitions are required for any construction activity proposed | | | | |
| beyond the right of way lines shown on the plans | | | | |
| 2. Are flood rights required? | | | | |
| D. Clearances/Conflicts | | | | |
| Evidence of stormsewer and utility clearance check | | | | |
| Seasonal highwater clearance checked against: bottom of base; pond bottom of storage | | | | |
| elevation; and ditch bottom elevation | | | | |
| 3. Freeboard, A.H.W. and D.H.W. meet local, state, and FDOT requirements | | | | |
| E. Maintenance of Traffic Plans have been checked for temporary drainage items | | | | |
| F. TSPs for drainage items completed by Ph III | | | | |